

## Application of a probabilistic moment tensor inversion to data recorded above the North German gas fields

Kühn, D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Dahm, T.<sup>1,3</sup>, Roszkopf, M.<sup>4</sup>, Richter, G.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Physics of Earthquakes and Volcanoes, Potsdam, Germany, <sup>2</sup>NORSAR, Applied Seismology, Kjeller, Norway, <sup>3</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, Potsdam, Germany, <sup>4</sup>ETH Zurich, Department of Earth Sciences, Zurich, Switzerland

Even if faults are not visible on geological outcrops, source mechanisms of earthquakes occurring on those faults may distinguish between different types of faulting. Moreover, the source mechanism of seismic events is one of the most important parameters to discriminate between natural and induced earthquakes and helps to understand the underlying cause. In addition, information on source mechanisms is important for hazard and risk studies, especially of shallow earthquakes. We implemented a novel, probabilistic full-waveform moment tensor inversion (“grond”, [1]) for the application to shallow micro-earthquakes close to gas fields in North Germany. The method was previously applied successfully to investigate induced events in the Groningen gas field in the Netherlands ([2]; [3]). Due to the probabilistic approach, parameter trade-offs, uncertainties and ambiguities are mapped. The implemented bootstrap method implicitly accounts for modelling errors that may affect every station and phase in a unique way.

In addition to the stations of the BVEG network (<http://www.seis-info.de/>), we included nearby sensors of the GRSN (German Regional Seismic Network; <https://www.seismologie.bgr.de/doi/grsn/>) and GE (GEOFON; <https://geofon.gfz-potsdam.de/doi/network/GE>) networks into our study. Since instrument types differ between and even within networks, we carefully evaluated station quality using the AutoStatsQ toolbox ([4]). Due to the complexities in the subsurface structure including salt pillows and domes, we refrained from employing a regional velocity model and instead constructed a local velocity model by extracting 1-D models from a full 3-D model ([5]). We performed detailed tests of input data types and inversion parameters to derive rules of good practice. As test case, we employed the October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018  $M_L$  3.6 earthquake close to Lastrup as well as the November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2019  $M_L$  3.0 event close to Kirchlinteln. Furthermore, event locations are provided by the algorithm. Such hypocentres, which are estimated simultaneously with moment tensors, are often less sensitive to uncertainties in crustal structure.

### References:

- [1] Heimann, S., Isken, M., Kühn, D., Sudhaus, H., Steinberg, A., Vasyura-Bathke, H., Daout, S., Cesca, S., Dahm, T., (2018), Grond - A probabilistic earthquake source inversion framework. V. 1.0, GFZ Data Services, <https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.2.1.2018.003>
- [2] Kühn, D., Heimann, S., Isken, M. P., Ruigrok, E., & Dost, B., (2020), Probabilistic moment tensor inversion for hydrocarbon-induced seismicity in the Groningen gas field, the Netherlands, part 1: testing, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 2095-2111, 110(5), <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120200099>
- [3] Dost, B., van Stiphout, A., Kühn, D., Kortekaas, M., Ruigrok, E., & Heimann, S., (2020), Probabilistic moment tensor inversion for hydrocarbon-induced seismicity in the Groningen gas field, the Netherlands, part 2: application, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 2112-2123, 110(5), <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120200076>
- [4] Petersen, G. M., Cesca, S., Kriegerowski, M., & AlpArray Working Group, (2019), Automated quality control for large seismic networks: implementation and application to the AlpArray seismic network, *Seismological Research Letters*, 1177-1190, 90(3), <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220180342>
- [5] Peikert, D., (2016), 3D velocity model building Lower Saxony, DMT, Technical Report